

## CRIME REPORTING AND THE POSITIVE ROLE OF MEDIA IN CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

Nana Vasadze

Doctoral Candidate at Caucasus International University,  
Media Researcher, Master of Law and Journalism

Reporting crime is one of the core functions of modern journalism. The media records and disseminates information, and acts as a bridge between law enforcement agencies and the public. Though the media is often criticized, its supportive role in identifying suspects, locating missing persons, and informing the public is significant and merits closer examination.

The media can rapidly circulate images of suspects, crime scene details, and missing person notices. News coverage can reach distant audiences within minutes, thereby mobilizing public awareness and engagement. As Kania (2018) states, "Media coverage is often the first public response to criminal activity."

In a democratic, rule-of-law-based state, the public has a heightened interest in the operations of criminal justice institutions and the administration of justice. When a crime is committed, members of society expect the competent authorities to promptly identify and prosecute the offender.

Criminal justice institutions in democratic societies require public trust and recognition. To achieve this, their operations and outcomes must be accessible and comprehensible to the general public. These institutions can only secure public trust through transparency. Thus, public acknowledgment and trust depend on communication with citizens and the provision of timely, accurate information.

Public oversight of criminal proceedings is essential, both during courtroom trials and at the investigative stage. The public is interested in more than just the trial and its outcome; they are also interested in how diligently prosecutorial authorities fulfill their duties. This interest is legitimate because many cases may never reach the trial phase and may be discontinued during the investigation based on prosecutorial discretion. Transparency during the investigative process can therefore satisfy the public's legitimate right to be informed.

To this end, prosecutorial bodies should avoid excessive secrecy and ensure that investigations remain within the scope of public oversight. Through regular communication with the public, the prosecution can promote transparency in the investigative process. These efforts will enable the public to critically assess prosecutorial decisions and foster greater trust in the justice system.

The rapid dissemination of crime-related information significantly impacts the dynamics of criminal investigations. Television, radio, online platforms, and social media are the most

effective tools for mobilizing public engagement. As Kania (2018) notes, "Media coverage is often the initial trigger for public response during crisis situations."

Footage, witness appeals, and suspect images circulated by the media foster a culture of civic participation. Citizens often depend on the media to disseminate information that would otherwise be difficult or impossible to share through other channels.

Photographs and video recordings shared by the media often facilitate suspect identification. Equally important is the widespread distribution of photos and descriptions of missing persons. Media reports often generate public solidarity and contribute to investigative efforts.

News coverage also encourages individuals who may possess critical information yet have previously hesitated or declined to come forward to share their knowledge. This effect reinforces the collaborative potential between the public and law enforcement.

Media involvement in reporting criminal cases plays a substantial role in achieving sentencing goals more broadly. In particular, it supports the achievement of general deterrence. Without media dissemination, the realization of general preventive aims would be hindered. Therefore, criminal justice institutions fundamentally rely on media cooperation to effectively fulfill their public function.

It should be noted that intensive media coverage during ongoing criminal proceedings poses serious risks to the constitutional and procedural rights of the accused, such as the right to a fair trial, the presumption of innocence, and the right to privacy. It also endangers the integrity and objectives of the criminal justice process itself. Therefore, media involvement can play a harmful role in criminal proceedings.

For example, excessive media activity during the investigative stage or detailed reporting on pending criminal cases may violate the privacy rights of the accused and other participants in the process. These risks are particularly high when the media prematurely discloses the identities of individuals involved in the investigation and labels them as suspects or perpetrators.

Ethical journalism respects the presumption of innocence and avoids speculative reporting. Media outlets must collaborate with legal experts to ensure that their coverage does not hinder the course of justice.

The media has a public duty to inform society, especially in cases concerning public safety, systemic crime, or politically sensitive issues. Media-driven demands for transparency often generate calls for institutional reform, thereby reinforcing democratic accountability. However, this power must be exercised with caution regarding the confidentiality of ongoing investigations.

Every suspect is presumed innocent until proven guilty by a competent court of law. Premature conclusions and evaluative commentary in the media threaten this fundamental legal principle.

Public pressure shaped by media narratives may influence judges or juries, compromising the fairness of the trial.

Therefore, the media must ensure that its reporting is accurate, balanced, and impartial. Particular care is needed when identifying minors, victims, or suspects. Although the media has significant influence, disseminating unverified information can mislead the public. Fact-checking, corrections, and editorial accountability are essential safeguards.

When guided by ethical standards and cooperating with law enforcement agencies, the media can become a powerful ally in criminal investigations. The media's ability to inform, engage, and mobilize the public makes it an invaluable asset to justice systems. The future demands stronger collaboration, clear communication protocols, and mutual trust between journalists and law enforcement professionals.

### Conclusion

In summary, criminal justice authorities and media outlets must emphasize implementing appropriate and responsible information policies when communicating with the public about criminal cases and the individuals involved. Although there is often heightened public interest in specific criminal proceedings, it is crucial that such information be conveyed in a balanced manner that respects both the legal rights of the accused and the interests of justice.

Furthermore, investigative bodies and prosecutors must exercise extreme caution when issuing public statements. Media organizations typically rely on these statements to inform the public. In these instances, the responsibility for the accuracy and appropriateness of the information lies with the criminal justice institution, not the media. Therefore, institutional accountability is fundamental to maintaining both procedural fairness and public trust.

### Sources:

1. Grygiel, J., & Lysak, S. (2021). Social media strategies in policing. *Communication Law and Policy*.
2. Kania, R. (2018). Media's Influence on the Perception of Criminal Justice. *Crime & Justice Research Alliance*.
3. Sharma, K. (2023). Shaping Police–Public Interface. *International Journal of Contemporary Research and Thought*.
4. European Broadcasting Union. (2022). *Journalism & Fair Trial*. EBU Policy Report.
5. Sharma, K. (2023). Shaping Police–Public Interface. *International Journal of Contemporary Research and Thought*.